



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,240	07/24/2006	Chin Kok Yap	Q92543	8683
23373	7590	09/09/2010	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BAYAT, BRADLEY B	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			3625	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/09/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,240	Applicant(s) YAP, CHIN KOK
	Examiner BRADLEY B. BAYAT	Art Unit 3625

All Participants:**Status of Application:** PENDING(1) BRADLEY B. BAYAT.

(3) _____.

(2) ALAN J. KASPER.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2010**Time:** 4:30 P.M. EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner and Applicant discussed which set of claims presented in the file wrapper were to be examined since multiple sets were filed. Applicant directed the Examiner to examine claims 1-29 submitted as part of the 371 application dated August 1, 2005 and filed with the PTO 1/3/2006 under the heading 371P. Claims are directed to an inventory management system and method.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bradley B Bayat/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)